**RUBRICS FOR FINAL PROJECT EVALUATION**

# Front End Engineering-II (22CS0014)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation Components** | **Details** | **Marks** |
| 1 | Viva & Presentation | 15 |
| 2 | Project Work | 25 |
| 3 | File work | 10 |
|  | **Total** | **50** |

**Rubrics for Evaluation Component 1:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Recommended Scores** | | |
|  | **Excellent (13-15)** | **Good (7-12)** | **Satisfactory (4-6)** |
| Understanding of the use case given | Excellent understanding with original/unique features. | Average understanding | Less understanding |
| Demonstration skill | Able to explain the work performed in a proper sequence with clarity. | Able to explain only part of the work performed with less clarity. | Not able to explain the work. |
| Techniques Used | Excellent field application of extension techniques. | Good field application of extension techniques. | Less field application of extension techniques. |
| Level of engagement | Excellent level of engagement in terms of team work and contributed equally. | Average level of engagement in team work and contributed low. | Lack of engagement in team work with no contribution. |

**Rubrics for Evaluation Component 2:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Recommended Scores** |
| Project Work | Designed a poor complex app – 5-11 |
| Designed a Moderate App – 12-21 |
| Designed a high-quality app with Extensive Implementation – 22-24 |

**Rubrics for Evaluation Component 3:** Submission of hard copy of project report.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Recommended Scores** | | |
| **Excellent (9-10)** | **Good (7-8)** | **Poor (4-6)** |
| Project Report | Project report is according to the specified format | Project report is according to the specified format but some mistakes | Project report not prepared according to the specified format |
| Description of Concepts and Technical Details | Strong description of the technical requirements of the project | In-sufficient description of the technical requirements of the project | Poor description of the technical requirements of the project |
| Conclusion and Discussion | Results are presented in very appropriate manner and Project work is well summarized and concluded | Results presented are not much satisfactory and Project work summary and conclusion not very appropriate | Results are not presented properly and  Project work is not summarized and concluded |

**Note: -**

* Project team may consist 3 to 4 members.
* In case student is absent in any lab evaluation, he/she will be given zero in the lab evaluation marks (under all rubrics).